**SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>26444-49-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Name</td>
<td>Diphenyl cresyl phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural formula</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structural formula" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Environment**

The chemical is toxic to aquatic organisms and considered not readily biodegradable. However the predicted environmental concentration is lower than the predicted no effect concentration. Therefore, it is considered of low potential risk and low priority for further work.

**Health**

The chemical is moderately toxic in a repeated dose toxicity study (i.e. liver, kidney, adrenal). This chemical is considered to be non-genotoxic. As margin of safety is very large, it is currently considered of low potential risk and low priority for further work.

**SHORT SUMMARY WHICH SUPPORTS THE REASONS FOR THE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Diphenyl cresyl phosphate is used as an additive for plasticizer and gasoline and as a flame retardant. The production volume in Japan was estimated to be 1,700 tonnes (1990 - 1993) and more than 1,000 tonnes/year with a highest production volume of 5,000 tonnes/year in Germany. The chemical is not produced but imported into Sweden, Denmark and Canada in volumes of 350 kg/year, 3 tonnes/year and 10 - 100 tonnes/year respectively. The chemical is also produced in the United States, however precise production data were not available. This chemical is used as the consumer product at 7 % in a filling foam for insulating air spaces.

The chemical is a stable liquid at pH 4, but is hydrolysed at pH 7 and 9. The half-life at pH 7 is about 47 days. This chemical is considered not readily biodegradable. Modelling of the potential environmental distribution of diphenyl cresyl phosphate (obtained from a Mackay generic level III fugacity model) showed this chemical would be distributed mainly to water and soil. The PEC<sub>local</sub> was estimated based on Japanese and German production data to be 1.5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> and 9 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mg/l, respectively.

The lowest acute toxicity data to fish, daphnids and algae were: 1.3 mg/l (96 h-LC<sub>50</sub> of *Oryzias latipes*), 3.7 mg/l (24 h EC<sub>50</sub> of *Daphnia magna*) and 0.55 mg/l (NOEC of *Selenastrum*).
capricornutum), respectively. The lowest chronic toxicity data to daphnid was 0.12 mg/l (21d-
NOEC (reproduction) Daphnia magna). The lowest acute and chronic toxicity data for each
trophic level were considered in calculating the predicted no effect concentration (PNEC). An
assessment factor of 100 was used to both acute and chronic toxicity data to determine the PNEC.
The PNEC was calculated as 0.0012 mg/l. The chemical is strongly toxic to algae, and moderately
toxic to fish and daphnids however the predicted environmental concentration is lower than the
predicted no effect concentration. Therefore, the environmental risk is considered to be low.

The chemical is produced in closed systems and therefore only limited occupational exposure is
expected in filling it into drums. Inhalation is considered the main route of exposure. An average
concentration of 0.3 mg/m³ was measured at a Japanese production facility. This exposure level is
equivalent to 0.005 mg/kg/day. As this chemical is not biodegradable and highly bioaccumulative,
the exposure to the general population via the environment would be assumed through drinking
water and fish. The concentration in drinking water is estimated to be equal to the calculated PEC
(i.e. 9.0 x 10⁻⁴ mg/l) to provide a worst case calculation. The daily intake is calculated as 3 x 10⁻⁵
mg/kg/day (2 l/day, 60 kg b.w.). Using the maximum bioconcentration factor of 980, the
concentration of this chemical in fish can be calculated as 8.82 x 10⁻⁴ mg/g-wet. As a daily intake
of fish in Japan is estimated to be 90 g for 60 kg body weight person, the daily intake of this
chemical will be 1.30 x 10⁻³ mg/kg/day.

Although the chemical showed no mutagenic effects in bacteria, a positive result was obtained in
chromosomal aberration test in vitro. A recent negative micronucleus test confirmed that the
chemical is not expected to be genotoxic. In a combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test, treatment at the mid dose (60 mg/kg/day),
resulted in enlargement and cortical vacuolation of the adrenals in both sexes. In addition, an
increase of food consumption and total cholesterol, a decrease of cholinesterase activities, and
enlargement of the liver were found in male rats, and suppression of body weight gains,
histopathological changes in the liver, kidneys and the thymus were found in female rats. For
reproductive effects, only a fertility index and an implantation index decreased in the highest group
(300 mg/kg/day). Therefore, NOEL for repeated dose toxicity was 12 mg/kg/day and NOEL for
reproductive toxicity was 60 mg/kg/day.

For human health, a margin of safety was estimated to be 2400, based on occupational exposure.
However, the frequency of exposure is very limited and the very few workers involved wear
personal protective equipment. The human health risks for the public from indirect exposure via
the environment and consumer use are also low.

IF FURTHER WORK IS RECOMMENDED, SUMMARISE ITS NATURE
## FULL SIDS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS NO: 26444-49-5</th>
<th>SPECIES</th>
<th>PROTOCOL</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Melting Point</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>≤ - 10 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Boiling Point</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>245 °C at 0.53 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Vapour Pressure</td>
<td>OECD TG 104</td>
<td>&lt; 1.2 x 10^{-4} Pa at 25 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Partition Coefficient (Log Pow)</td>
<td>OECD TG 117</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 A. Water Solubility</td>
<td>OECD TG 105</td>
<td>2.4 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. pH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12 Oxidation: Reduction Potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1 Photodegradation</td>
<td>Sunlight, Calculation</td>
<td>In water T_{1/2} = 4.86 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2 Stability in Water</td>
<td>OECD TG 111</td>
<td>T_{1/2} = stable at pH 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T_{1/2} = 47 days at pH 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Monitoring Data</td>
<td>Monitoring program in Japan</td>
<td>In air =</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In surface water = not detected in Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In soil/sediment = not detected in Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In biota =</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Transport and Distribution</td>
<td>Calculated Fugacity level III</td>
<td>Release: 100% to Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Air: 0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Water: 97.6 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Sediment: 2.3 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Soil: 0.1 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Biodegradation</td>
<td>OECD TD 301C</td>
<td>not readily biodegradable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Bioaccumulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>BCF = 360 or 980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECOTOXICOLOGY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Acute/Prolonged Toxicity to Fish</td>
<td><em>Oryzias latipes</em></td>
<td>OECD TG 203</td>
<td>LC_{50} (24 hr) = 2.7 mg/l, LC_{50} (48hr) = 1.7 mg/l,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LC_{50} (72 hr) = 1.3 mg/l, LC_{50} (96hr) = 1.3 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates</td>
<td><em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td>OECD TG 202</td>
<td>EC_{50} (24 hr) = 3.7 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Daphnia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Toxicity to Aquatic Plants e.g. Algae</td>
<td><em>Selenastrum capricornutum</em></td>
<td>OECD TG 201</td>
<td>EC_{50} (72 hr) = 0.99 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOEC (72 hr) =</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5.2 Chronic Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (*Daphnia*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Toxicity Endpoint</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OECD TG 202 EC50s (14 d)</td>
<td><em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td>LD50 = 0.27 mg/l (Reproduction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LC50 = 0.31 mg/l (Reproduction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOECs (21 d) = 0.12 mg/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.1 Toxicity to Soil Dwelling Organisms

No studies located

4.6.2 Toxicity to Terrestrial Plants

No studies located

(4.6.3) Toxicity to Other Non-Mammalian Terrestrial Species (Including Birds)

TOXICOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Toxicity Endpoint</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1 Acute Oral Toxicity</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>LD50 = 6,400 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2 Acute Inhalation Toxicity</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>LC50 &gt; 0.37 mg/m³/hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.3 Acute Dermal Toxicity</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>LD50 &gt; 5,000 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 Repeated Dose Toxicity</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>OECD Combined NOEL = 12 mg/kg/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Genetic Toxicity In Vitro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Bacterial Test</td>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em></td>
<td>Japanese TG + (With metabolic activation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Non-Bacterial In Vitro Test</td>
<td>CHL cells</td>
<td>Japanese TG - (Without metabolic activation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Genetic Toxicity In Vivo</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Japanese TG - (Without metabolic activation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8 Toxicity to Reproduction</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>OECD Combined NOEL = 60 mg/kg (Repro. Tox. parental)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOEL = 300 mg/kg (Repro. Tox. F1 generation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9 Developmental Toxicity/ Teratogenicity</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11 Experience with Human Exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Note] Data beyond SIDS requirements can be added if the items are relevant to the assessment of the chemical, e.g. corrosiveness/irritation, carcinogenicity.
Revised SIDS Initial Assessment Report
for
7th SIAM
(Australia, March 25 - 27, 1998)

Chemical Name: Diphenyl Cresyl phosphate
CAS No: 26444-49-5
Sponsor Country: JAPAN

National SIDS Contact Point in Sponsor Country: Kenichi Suganuma
Director, Second International Organization Bureau, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Japan

HISTORY:

SIDS Dossier and Testing Plan were reviewed at the SIDS Review Meeting on (date), where the following SIDS Testing Plan was agreed. This chemical has been evaluated in SIAM-3, and micronucleus test was requested for confirmation of genetic toxicity endpoint.

no testing ( )
testing ( X )

Water Solubility, Vapour pressure, Partition coefficient
Photodegradation, Stability in water, Biodegradation
Acute toxicity to Fish, Acute toxicity to daphnia, Acute toxicity to algae, Chronic toxicity to daphnia
Genotoxicity to bacteria, Chromosomal aberration in vitro, Micronucleus Test
Combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test

In March, 1998, we received many exposure information from member countries. Therefore, SIAR of this chemical was revised including these exposure information.

COMMENTS:
Deadline for circulation: March 7, 1997
Date of Circulation: March 28, 1997
Date of Recirculation: May 16, 1997
Date of Circulation: March 16, 1998
(To all National SIDS Contact Points and the OECD Secretariat)
1. **IDENTITY**

OECD Name: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate  
Synonym: Diphenyl tolyl phosphate  
CAS Number: 26444-49-5  
Empirical Formula: C_{19}H_{17}O_{4}P  
Structural Formula: 
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Degree of Purity: 49.1 %  
Major Impurities: Cresol, isomer of tolyl ester  
Essential Additives: No additives

2. **GENERAL INFORMATION ON EXPOSURE**

The production level of diphenyl cresyl phosphate in Japan was about 1,700 tonnes/year in 1990-1993. The most of this amount was sold and handled in Japan. There is no information about imported volumes of diphenyl cresyl phosphate. Diphenyl cresyl phosphate is used in industry as the plasticizer in Japan.

In Germany, the chemical is produced by one company in amounts > 1,000 tonnes/year and highest production volume is 5,000 tonnes/year. Total amount is used as flame retardant or plasticizer in polymer matrices.

In Sweden, no production are reported, and total use volume is 320 kg/year. Use pattern is additives to high temperature oil used in gear boxes, additives to hydraulic oil used in cars, in glue applied on screen tables used in textile printing and softener and flame retardant in polymers. The concentration in end products are between 0.4 - 25%.

In Denmark, there is no production volume, and total use volume is 3 tonnes/year. Main use pattern is softeners, construction materials and paint, laquers and varnishes.

In United States, there are production and usage, but production, import and export volume is confidential. According to the EPA's Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Use Cluster Scoring System, this chemical is used as plasticizer; extreme-pressure lubricant; hydraulic fluids; gasoline additive; food packaging. It is also used as plasticizer for polyvinyl plastics, cellulosic plastics and polystyrene, polycarbonates and butadiene rubbers. This chemical is used as an flame-retardant for polyvinyl plastics.
In Canada, the chemical is not produced, but is imported between 10 - 100 tonnes/year. It is used in plastics and in some paints and coatings.

3. ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Environmental Exposure

3.1.1 General Discussion

Monitoring data
In a monitoring program in Japan in 1981, diphenyl cresyl phosphate was not detected in surface water or sediment in 63 areas in Japan. The detection limit was 0.05µg/l for surface water and 0.005mg/l for sediment, respectively.

Environmental distribution
The potential environmental distribution of diphenyl cresyl phosphate obtained from a generic level III Fugacity model is shown in the Table. The results show that if diphenyl cresyl phosphate is released mainly to water and soil, it is unlikely to be transported to other compartment but if diphenyl cresyl phosphate is released mainly to air, it is likely to be transported to soil and water.

Environmental distribution of diphenyl cresyl phosphate using a generic level III Fugacity model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to air</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to water</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
<td>97.6 %</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>77.1 %</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

abiotic and biotic degradation in air, water, soil;

a) Biodegradability test (OECD TG 301C) of diphenyl cresyl phosphate showed 0 - 5 % gradation after 28 days by BOD, GC and HPLC. Therefore. Diphenyl cresyl phosphate is classified as “not readily biodegradable”.

Degree of degradation after 28 days
0, 0, 0 % after 28 days by BOD
11, 5, 5 % from HPLC analysis
4, 1, 3 % from GC analysis

b) Hydrolysis as a function to pH:

Stable at pH 4 at 25 °C
Half-life time: 47.0 days at pH 7 at 25 °C
5.1 days at pH 9 at 25 °C

c) Photodegradability (estimation)
Diphenyl cresyl phosphate is stable photochemically, and half life for photolysis in water was estimated to be 4.86 years.

bioaccumulation in different environmental compartments:
According to the German company data, bioconcentration factor (BCF) was 360 or 980. Partition coefficient (log Pow) at 25 °C was 3.7 (OECD TG 107)

possibility to form degradation products and their environmental fate and pathways. No data are available.

3.1.2 Predicted Environmental Concentration

Local exposure:

a) According to a Japanese manufacturer, 540 kg/year of diphenyl cresyl phosphate are released into the WWTP. Elimination in the WWTP is 98% with a flow of $0.7 \times 10^6$ tonnes/year into the bay. Local predicted environmental concentration ($\text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$) is $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mg/l, employing the following calculation model. In this case, 1000 is applied as the dilution factor.

\[
\frac{\text{Amount of release} \times (100-98)}{\text{Volume of effluent} \times \text{Dilution factor}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}
\]

b) According to a German exposure information, German proposed to integrate a generic exposure scenario using the following parameters.

- Production volume: 50,000 tonnes/year (maximum production volume given in IUCLID)
- Release factor for production: 0.3% (production and processing at the same site)
- Number of production days: 300 days/year
- Elimination in stp: 91% (according to the Simpletreat)
- Flow-rate of receiving river: 60 m$^3$/s (according to the TGD)

With this data, a $\text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$ of about $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mg/l can be calculated.

Regional exposure

An exposure scenario for the use of this chemical as plasticizer and flame retardant should be integrated. Germany proposed following scenario. One can assume that 1% per year of the used this chemical will migrate out of polymer matrix. With the assumption that the total amount if this chemical used in polymer materials was constant within the last 10 years and assuming an average life duration of the products of 10 years a diffuse emission of 500 tonnes/year is resulting. In a region of 200 x 200 km$^2$ and a number of inhabitants of 20 million 25% of the diffuse emission, that is 125 tonnes/year. takes place. As a worst case it is assumed that the total amount is emitted into surface water. With the model SIMPLEBOX a regional PEC of $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/l could be calculated. EUSES has calculated a $\text{PEC}_{\text{regional}}$ of $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/l.

3.2 Effects on the Environment

3.2.1 Aquatic effects
a) Acute toxicity to fish  
SIDS data: *Oryzias latipes*  
Test results:  
LC$_{50}$ (24h) = 2.7 mg/l  
LC$_{50}$ (48h) = 1.7 mg/l  
LC$_{50}$ (72h) = 1.3 mg/l  
LC$_{50}$ (96h) = 1.3 mg/l

b) Acute toxicity to daphnids  
SIDS data: *Daphnia magna*  
Results: 24-hours EC$_{50}$ = 3.7 mg/l

c) Results of long-term tests e.g., reproduction  
SIDS data: *Daphnia magna*  
Results: Reproduction: NOEC = 0.12 mg/l

d) Toxicity to algae  
SIDS data: *Selenastrum capricornutum*  
Results: 72-hours EC$_{50}$ = 0.99 mg/l  
NOEC = 0.55 mg/l

e) Other ecotoxicological data  
SIDS data: *Ankistrodesmus falcatus*  
Results: 4-hours EC$_{50}$ = 0.7 mg/l  
SIDS data: *Scenedemus quadricauda*  
Results: 4-hours EC$_{50}$ = 1 mg/l  
SIDS data: *Brachydanio rerio*  
Results: 96-hours EC$_{90}$ = 11.5 mg/l

f) Hazard assessment for the aquatic organisms  
The chemical is strongly toxic to algae, and moderately toxic to fish and daphnids.

3.2.2 Terrestrial effects  
No data are available

3.2.3 Other effects  
No more data are available.

3.3 Initial Assessment for the Environment

Predicted no effect concentration:  
Predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) for aquatic organisms has been calculated for the lowest values for most sensitive species, daphnia (*Daphnia magna*). Using the NOEC of 0.12 mg/l and assessment factor 100.  
PNEC = 0.12/100 = 0.0012 mg/l

Predicted environmental concentration:
Predicted environmental concentration (PEC\textsubscript{local}) from Japanese local exposure scenario was $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mg/l,
\[
\frac{\text{PEC}\textsubscript{local}}{\text{PNEC}} = \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-5}}{0.0012} = 1.25 \times 10^{-2} < 1
\]

Predicted environmental concentration (PEC\textsubscript{local}) from German local exposure scenario was $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mg/l,
\[
\frac{\text{PEC}\textsubscript{local}}{\text{PNEC}} = \frac{9 \times 10^{-4}}{0.0012} = 0.75 < 1
\]

Predicted environmental concentration (PEC\textsubscript{local}) from German regional exposure scenario was $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/l,
\[
\frac{\text{PEC}\textsubscript{local}}{\text{PNEC}} = \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-3}}{0.0012} = 1
\]

This ratio indicates marginal.

4. **HUMAN HEALTH**

4.1 **Human Exposure**

4.1.1 **Occupational exposure**

As diphenyl cresyl phosphate is produced in a closed system, exposure during synthesis may be excluded. This chemical is used as antiflammable plasticizer for polymer. The possibility of workplace exposure is when the product is filled into drums, with inhalation uptake considered to be the main exposure route. Skin contact plays a minor role. An average workplace concentration in a production site was $0.3$ mg/m$^3$. At the production site of this chemical, the daily intake through inhalation could be estimated as $0.005$ mg/kg/day. However, actual body burden must be low, because workers wear protective equipment during drum filling.

4.1.2 **Consumer exposure**

This chemical is used as the consumer product named Assil-IF at a concentration of 7%. Assil-IF is a filling foam for insulating air spaces.

4.1.3 **Indirect exposure via the environment**

As diphenyl cresyl phosphate is not biodegradable and high bioaccumulative, the exposure to the general population via the environment would be possible through drinking water processed from surface water and through fish which may accumulate this chemical. Based on the physical chemical properties of this chemical, a significant removal during the purification process of drinking water is not expected. Therefore, the concentration in drinking water should be estimated to be equal to PEC calculated in Section 3.1, i.e. $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mg/l, as the worst case. The daily intake is calculated as $3 \times 10^{-5}$ mg/kg/day (2 l/day, 60 kg b.w.).

Using the maximum bioconcentration factor of 980 obtained by tests, the concentration of this chemical in fish can be calculated as follows:
\[
\text{PEC}\textsubscript{fish} = (9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/l}) \times 980 = 8.82 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/g-wet}
\]
As a daily intake of fish in Japan is estimated to be 90 g for 60 kg body weight person, the daily intake of this chemical will be $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/kg/day.

4.2 Effects on Human Health

a) mode of action of the chemical, toxicokinetics and metabolism

No data are available.

b) acute toxicity;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIDS data</th>
<th>Oral/Rat: $LD_{50}$: 6,400 mg/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inhalation/Sheep: $LC_{50}$: &gt; 0.37 mg/l/1h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dermal/Rabbit: $LD_{50}$: &gt; 5,000 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) repeated dose toxicity;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIDS data</th>
<th>OECD Combined Repeated Dose and Reproductive/Developmental Screening Toxicity Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results:</td>
<td>In the 300 mg/kg group, salivation, a suppression of body weight gain and increase of water intake were found in both sexes, and an increase of food consumption was found in male rats. In the investigation of hematology, changes of parameters indicated anemia, and an increase of leukocytes were found in the 300 mg/kg group of male rats. In the investigation of clinical chemistry, increase in total cholesterol and decreases in GOT, albumin, A/G ratio, cholinesterase activity and triglycerides were also found in the 300 mg/kg group of male rats. In urinalysis, decreases in pH and specific gravity, an increase of urine volume were found in the 300 mg/kg of male rats. In the pathological examination, enlargement and cortical vacuolation of the adrenals, enlargement of the liver, and fatty change of the proximal tubular epithelium were found in both sexes. In addition, reduction of fatty change of the hepatocytes, increase in hyaline droplets and basophilic changes in the proximal tubular epithelium, erosion or focal necrosis in mucosa of stomach and atrophy of seminiferous tubular were found in male rats, and clear cell change of hepatocytes, atrophy of thymus, hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the interstitial cells in the ovaries were found in female rats. In the 60 mg/kg group, suppression of body weight gains was found in female rats. Enlargement and cortical vacuolation of the adrenals were found in both sexes. In addition, an increase of total cholesterol, a decrease of cholinesterase activity, and enlargement of the liver were found in male rats, and histopathological changes in the liver, kidneys and the thymus were found in female rats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOEL:</td>
<td>12 mg/kg/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) reproduction/developmental toxicity;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIDS data</th>
<th>OECD Combined Repeated Dose and Reproductive/Developmental Screening Toxicity Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results:</td>
<td>A fertility index and an implantation index decreased in the 300 mg/kg group. These were probably caused by dysspermatogogenesis. A birth index tended to low. There were</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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no effects on the reproductive or developmental parameters of copulation, pregnancy, parturition or lactation. In an observation of neonates, no effects were found on the values for live pups, mean pup weights, sex ratio, abnormal pups or loss of offsprings.

These results indicate that the no effect levels for reproduction or development are 60 mg/kg for sires, and 300 mg/kg for dams and offsprings.

NOEL for P generation: 60 mg/kg  
NOEL for F1 generation: 300 mg/kg  
NOEL for F2 generation: not applicable

e) genetic toxicity
   Bacterial test: Negative results in *S. Typhimurium* TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537 and *E. coli* WP2 uvrA with and without metabolic activation (Japanese TG)
   Chromosomal
      Aberration in vitro: Marginal positive result in Chinese hamster liver (CHL) cells with metabolic activation (Japanese TG)
      Micronucleus Test:  Negative result (Japanese TG)

f) any other human health related information that is available.
   None

### 4.3 Initial Assessment for Human Health

Diphenyl cresyl phosphate is produced in closed systems and therefore only limited occupational exposure is expected in filling it into drums. Inhalation is considered the main route of exposure. An average concentration of 0.3 mg/m$^3$ was measured at a Japanese production facility. This exposure level is equivalent to 0.005 mg/kg/day. As this chemical is not biodegradable and highly bioaccumulative, the exposure to the general population via the environment would be assumed through drinking water and fish. The concentration in drinking water is estimated to be equal to the calculated PEC (i.e. 9.0 x 10$^{-4}$ mg/l) to provide a worst case calculation. The daily intake is calculated as 3 x 10$^{-3}$ mg/kg/day (2 l/day, 60 kg b.w.). Using the maximum bioconcentration factor of 980, the concentration of this chemical in fish can be calculated as 8.82 x 10$^{-4}$ mg/g-wet. As a daily intake of fish in Japan is estimated to be 90 g for 60 kg body weight person, the daily intake of this chemical will be 1.30 x 10$^{-3}$ mg/kg/day.

Although the chemical showed no mutagenic in bacterial tests and micronucleus test, a marginal positive result was obtained in chromosomal aberration test in vitro. In a combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test, NOEL for repeated dose toxicity was 12 mg/kg/day and NOEL for reproductive toxicity was 60 mg/kg/day.

A margin of safety is estimated to be 2400, based on occupational exposure. However, the frequency of exposure is very limited and the workers involved wear personal protective equipment. The margin of safety is 4.0 x 10$^3$ for drinking water and 9.2 x 10$^3$ for eating fish, based on local exposure scenario. Consumer exposure is also considered to be
low. Therefore human health risks from occupational exposure and indirect exposure are presumably low.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

For environment, diphenyl cresyl phosphate is toxic to aquatic organisms and considered not readily biodegradable. However the predicted environmental concentration is lower than the predicted no effect concentration. Therefore, it is considered of low potential risk and low priority for further work.

For human health, the chemical is moderately toxic in a repeated dose toxicity study (i.e. liver, kidney, adrenal). This chemical is considered to be non-genotoxic. As margin of safety for occupational and indirect exposure is very large, it is currently considered of low potential risk and low priority for further work.

5.2 Recommendations

5.3 REFERENCES

ANNEX: Full SIDS Dossier
REVISED OECD HPV FORM 1

SIDS DOSSIER
ON THE HPV PHASE-2 CHEMICAL

Diphenyl cresyl phosphate

CAS No. 26444-49-5

Sponsor Country: JAPAN
DATE: May 16, 1997
## CONTENTS

### SIDS PROFILE

### SIDS SUMMARY

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.01 SUBSTANCE INFORMATION
   - A. CAS-NUMBER
   - B. NAME (IUPAC-NAME)
   - C. NAME (OECD NAME)
   - D. CAS DESCRIPTOR
   - E. EINECS-NUMBER
   - F. MOLECULAR FORMULA
   - G. STRUCTURAL FORMULA
   - H. SUBSTANCE GROUP
   - I. SUBSTANCE REMARK
   - J. MOLECULAR WEIGHT

1.02 OECD INFORMATION
   - A. SPONSOR COUNTRY
   - B. LEAD ORGANISATION
   - C. NAME OF RESPONDER (COMPANY)

1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION
   - A. TYPE OF SUBSTANCE
   - B. PHYSICAL STATE
   - C. PURITY

1.2 SYNONYMS

1.3 IMPURITIES

1.4 ADDITIVES

1.5 * QUANTITY

1.6 LABELLING AND CLASSIFICATION (USE AND/OR TRANSPORTATION)

1.7 * USE PATTERN
   - A. GENERAL USE PATTERN
   - B. USES IN CONSUMER PRODUCTS

1.8 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUE

1.9 * SOURCES OF EXPOSURE

1.10 ADDITIONAL REMARKS
   - A. OPTIONS OF DISPOSAL
   - B. OTHER REMARKS.

2. PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL DATA

2.1 * MELTING POINT

2.2 * BOILING POINT

2.3 † DENSITY (RELATIVE DENSITY)

2.4 * VAPOUR PRESSURE

2.5 * PARTITION COEFFICIENT n-OCTANOL/WATER

2.6 * WATER SOLUBILITY
   - A. SOLUBILITY
   - B. pH VALUE, pKa VALUE

2.7 FLASH POINT (LIQUIDS)

2.8 AUTO FLAMMABILITY (SOLID/GASES)

2.9 FLAMMABILITY
OECD SIDS  DIPHENYL CRESYL PHOSPHATE

2.10  EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES
2.11  OXIDISING PROPERTIES
2.12  † OXIDATION: REDUCTION POTENTIAL
2.13  ADDITIONAL REMARKS
   A. PARTITION CO-EFFICIENT BETWEEN SOIL/SEDIMENT AND WATER (Kd)
   B. OTHER REMARKS

3.  ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAYS

3.1  STABILITY
3.1.1  * PHOTODEGRADATION
3.1.2  * STABILITY IN WATER
3.1.3  STABILITY IN SOIL
3.2  * MONITORING DATA (ENVIRONMENT)
3.3  * TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARTMENTS INCLUDING ESTIMATED ENVIRONMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS AND DISTRIBUTION PATHWAYS
3.3.1  TRANSPORT
3.3.2  THEORETICAL DISTRIBUTION (FUGACITY CALCULATION)
3.4  MODE OF DEGRADATION IN ACTUAL USE
3.5  * BIODEGRADATION
3.6  BOD-5, COD OR RATIO BOD-5/COD
3.7  BIOACCUMULATION
3.8  ADDITIONAL REMARKS
   A. SEWAGE TREATMENT
   B. OTHER

4.  ECOTOXICITY

4.1  * ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH
4.2  ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES
   * A. DAPHNIA
   B. OTHER AQUATIC ORGANISMS
4.3  * TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS e.g., ALGAE
4.4  TOXICITY TO BACTERIA
4.5  CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC ORGANISMS
4.5.1  CHRONIC TOXICITY TO FISH
4.5.2  (*) CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES (e.g., DAPHNIA REPRODUCTION)
4.6  TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL ORGANISMS
4.6.1  TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS
4.6.2  TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS
4.6.3  TOXICITY TO OTHER NON-MAMMALIAN TERRESTRIAL SPECIES (INCLUDING BIRDS)
4.7  BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING (INCLUDING BIOMAGNIFICATION)
4.8  BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS
4.9  ADDITIONAL REMARKS

5.  TOXICITY

5.1  * ACUTE TOXICITY
5.1.1  ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY
5.1.2  ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY
5.1.3  ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY
5.1.4  ACUTE TOXICITY BY OTHER ROUTES OF ADMINISTRATION
5.2  CORROSIVENESS/IRRITATION
5.2.1  SKIN IRRITATION/CORROSION
5.2.2  EYE IRRITATION/CORROSION
5.3  SKIN SENSITISATION
5.4  * REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY
5.5  * GENETIC TOXICITY IN VITRO
     A.  BACTERIAL TEST
     B.  NON-BACTERIAL IN VITRO TEST
5.6  * GENETIC TOXICITY IN VIVO
5.7  CARCINOGENICITY
5.8  * TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION
5.9  * DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY / TERATOGENICITY
5.10  OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION
     A.  SPECIFIC TOXICITIES (NEUROTOXICITY, IMMUNOTOXICITY etc.)
     B.  TOXICODYNAMICS, TOXICOKINETICS
5.11  * EXPERIENCE WITH HUMAN EXPOSURE

6.  REFERENCES

Note:  *; Data elements in the SIDS
†; Data elements specially required for inorganic chemicals
# SIDS Profile

**DATE:** May 16, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.01 A.</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>26444-49-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01 C.</td>
<td><strong>CHEMICAL NAME</strong>&lt;br&gt;(OECD Name)</td>
<td>Diphenyl cresyl phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01 D.</td>
<td><strong>CAS DESCRIPTOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01 G.</td>
<td><strong>STRUCTURAL FORMULA</strong></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structural Formula" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Other Chemical Identity Information

| 1.5 | **QUANTITY** | In Japan, 1,700 tonnes/year in 1990 - 1993. |
| 1.7 | **USE PATTERN** | Plasticizer |

## Sources and Levels of Exposure

| 1.9 | **SOURCES AND LEVELS OF EXPOSURE** | In Japan, 540 kg/year are released into the WWTP. Elimination in the WWTP is 98%. |

## Issues for Discussion (Identify, if Any)
### SIDS SUMMARY

**DATE:** May 16, 1997

**CAS NO:** 26444-49-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>OECD Study</th>
<th>GLP</th>
<th>Other Study</th>
<th>Estimation Method</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>SIDS Testing Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Melting Point</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Boiling Point</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Vapour Pressure</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Partition Coefficient</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Water Solubility</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>pH and pKa values</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER P/C STUDIES RECEIVED

**ENVIRONMENTAL FATE and PATHWAY**

| 3.1.1       | Photodegradation | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 3.1.2       | Stability in water | N          |     |             |                   |            | N                     |
| 3.2         | Monitoring data  | Y          | N   | N           | Y                 | N          | N                     |
| 3.3         | Transport and Distribution | N          |     |             |                   |            | N                     |
| 3.5         | Biodegradation   | N          |     |             |                   |            | Y                     |

### OTHER ENV FATE STUDIES RECEIVED

**ECOTOXICITY**

| 4.1         | Acute toxicity to Fish | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 4.2         | Acute toxicity to Daphnia | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 4.3         | Toxicity to Algae     | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 4.5.2       | Chronic toxicity to Daphnia | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 4.6.1       | Toxicity to Soil dwelling organisms | N          |     |             |                   | N          | N                     |
| 4.6.2       | Toxicity to Terrestrial plants | N          |     |             |                   | N          | N                     |
| 4.6.3       | Toxicity to Birds     | N          |     |             |                   | N          | N                     |

### OTHER ECOTOXICITY STUDIES RECEIVED

**TOXICITY**

| 5.1.1       | Acute Oral          | Y          | N   | N           | Y                 | N          | Y                     |
| 5.1.2       | Acute Inhalation    | Y          | N   | N           | Y                 | N          | N                     |
| 5.1.3       | Acute Dermal        | Y          | N   | N           | Y                 | N          | N                     |
| 5.4         | Repeated Dose       | N          |     |             |                   |            | Y                     |
| 5.5         | Genetic Toxicity *in vitro* | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 5.6         | Reproduction Toxicity | N          |     |             |                   | Y          | N                     |
| 5.9         | Development /Teratogenicity | N          |     |             |                   | N          | N                     |
| 5.11        | Human experience    | N          |     |             |                   |            | N                     |

### OTHER TOXICITY STUDIES RECEIVED
1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.01 SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

*A. Cast number 26444-49-5

B. Name (IUPAC name) Diphenyl cresyl phosphate

*C. Name (OECD name) Diphenyl cresyl phosphate

†D. CAS Descriptor

E. EINECS-Number 247-693-8.

F. Molecular Formula C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{17}O\textsubscript{4}P

*G. Structural Formula

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{P} \\
\text{O} \\
\text{CH}_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

H. Substance Group Not applicable

I. Substance Remark

J. Molecular Weight 340.32

1.02 OECD INFORMATION

A. Sponsor Country: JAPAN

B. Lead Organisation: Ministry of Health and Welfare (MHW)
Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI)
Environment Agency (EA)
Ministry of Labour (MOL)

Name of Lead Organisation: Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Contact person: Mr. Kenichi Suganuma
Director
Second International Organization Bureau
Ministry of foreign Affairs

Address: Street: 2-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku
Postal code: 100
Town: Tokyo
Country: Japan
Tel: 81-3-3581-0018
Fax: 81-3-3503-3136
C. Name of responder

Name: Same as above contact person

1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

A. Type of Substance

element [ ]; inorganic [ ]; natural substance[ ]; organic [X]; organometallic[ ]; petroleum product [ ]

B. Physical State (at 20°C and 1.013 hPa)

gaseous [ ]; liquid [X]; solid [ ]

C. Purity

> 99.5 %

1.2 SYNONYMS

Diphenyl tolyl phosphate

1.3 IMPURITIES

Cresol

1.4 ADDITIVES

No additives

*1.5 QUANTITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Production Date</th>
<th>Production Date</th>
<th>Production Date</th>
<th>Production Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formosa</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: MITI, Japan

1.6 LABELLING AND CLASSIFICATION

No information are available.
*1.7 USE PATTERN
A. General

Type of Use: Category:
(a) main Additive to plastic (plasticizer)
industrial use

Reference: MITI, Japan and ECDIN Database

B. Uses in Consumer Products

No consumer use

1.8 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUE

Exposure limit value
None

Short term exposure situation
Number of workers: 2
Length of exposure period: 2 hrs per time
Frequency: one time a day
Remarks: No emission data
Reference: Company data

* 1.9 SOURCES OF EXPOSURE

Source: Media of release: Water from a production site
Quantities per media: 10 kg/year
Remarks:
Reference: MITI, Japan

1.10 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

A. Options for disposal

Remarks: Incineration
Reference: MITI, Japan

B. Other remarks

Remarks: None
Reference:

2. PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL DATA

*2.1 MELTING POINT
**Value:** $< -10 \, ^\circ C$

**Decomposition:** Yes [ ] No [X] Ambiguous [ ]

**Sublimation:** Yes [ ] No [X] Ambiguous [ ]

**Method:**

**GLP:** Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]

**Remarks:** Unpublished company data

### 2.2 BOILING POINT

**Value:** 245 °C

**Pressure:**

**Decomposition:** Yes [ ] No [X] Ambiguous [ ]

**Method:**

**GLP:** Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]

**Reference:** Unpublished company data

### 2.3 DENSITY (relative density)

No data available

### 2.4 VAPOUR PRESSURE

(a)

**Value:** $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{Pa}$

**Temperature:** 25 °C

**Method:** calculated [ ]; measured [X]

**GLP:** Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]

**Reference:** MITI, Japan (Unpublished Report, Test was performed in Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute, Japan)

(b)

**Value:** 0.000001 hPa

**Temperature:** 41 °C

**Method:** calculated [ ]; measured [X]

**GLP:** Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]

**Reference:** Unpublished company data

### 2.5 PARTITION COEFFICIENT log$_{10}$Pow

(a)

**Log Pow:** 3.7

**Temperature:** 25 °C

**Method:** calculated [ ]; measured [X]

**GLP**

**Remarks:**

**Reference:** MITI, Japan (1993)

(b)

**Log Pow:** 5.1
Temperature: calculated [X]; measured [ ]
Leo, A.: CLOGP-3.54 MedChem Software 1989
GLP Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [ ]
Remarks: Company data

*2.6 WATER SOLUBILITY

A. Solubility

(a)
Value: 2.4 mg/l
Temperature: 25 °C
Description: Miscible [ ]; Of very high solubility [ ]; Of high solubility [ ]; Soluble [ ]; Slightly soluble [ ]; Of low solubility [X]; Of very low solubility [ ]; Not soluble [ ]
Method: OECD Test Guideline 105
GLP Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Remarks: MITI, Japan (1993)

(b)
Value: 0.0026 g/l
Temperature: 25 °C
Description: Miscible [ ]; Of very high solubility [ ]; Of high solubility [ ]; Soluble [ ]; Slightly soluble [ ]; Of low solubility [X]; Of very low solubility [ ]; Not soluble [ ]
Method: Unknown
GLP Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Remarks: Sieger et al. (1979)
Reference: MITI, Japan (1993)

B. pH Value, pKa Value

No data available

2.7 FLASH POINT (liquids)

(a)
Value: 240 °C
Type of test: Closed cup [ ]; Open cup [X]; Other [ ]
Method: C.O.C. Method
GLP: Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Remarks: Unpublished company data.
Reference: Unpublished company data.

(b)
Value: 242 °C
Type of test: Closed cup [ ]; Open cup [X]; Other [ ]
Method:
GLP: Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Remarks: Unpublished company data.

2.8 AUTO FLAMMABILITY (*solid/gases*)

No data available

2.9 FLAMMABILITY

No data available

2.10 EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES

No data available

2.11 OXIDISING PROPERTIES

No data available

†2.12 OXIDATION: REDUCTION POTENTIAL

No data available

2.13 ADDITIONAL DATA

A. Partition co-efficient between soil/sediment and water (Kd)

No data available

B. Other data

No data available

3. ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAYS

3.1 STABILITY

*3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION

Type: Air [ ]; Water [X]; Soil [ ]; Other [ ]
Light source: Sunlight [X]; Xenon lamp [ ]; Other [ ]
Light spectrum:  
Relative intensity:  
Spectrum of substance: \(\epsilon = 8.17 \times 10^3\) at 300 nm
Concentration of Substance: \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) M.
Temperature:  
Direct photolysis:  
Half life: 4.86 years
Degradation rate: \(2.26 \times 10^{-13}\) mol/l/s
Quantum yield: 0.01
Indirect Photolysis:
Type of sensitizer:
Concentration of sensitizer:
Rate constant (radical): $\text{cm}^3/\text{molecule}*\text{sec}$
Degradation:
Method: calculated [X]; measured [ ]
Estimated parameter for calculation:
Concentration $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$
Depth of water body 500 cm
Conversion rate $6.023 \times 10^{20}$
GLP: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]
Test substance:

*3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

Type: Abiotic (hydrolysis) [X]; biotic (sediment)[ ]
Half life: Stable at pH 4
47.0 days at pH 7 at 25 °C
5.10 days at pH 9 at 25 °C
Method: OECD Test Guideline 111
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate
Remarks:
Reference: MITI, Japan (1993)

3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL

No data available

*3.2 MONITORING DATA (ENVIRONMENTAL)

(a)
Type of Measurement: Background [ ]; At contaminated site [ ]; Other [X]
Media: Surface water
Results: ND (Detection limits: 0.05 μg/l) in 63 areas in Japan as of 1981
Remarks: ND: Not detected
Reference: EA, Japan (1983)

(b)
Type of Measurement: Background [ ]; At contaminated site [ ]; Other [X]
Media: Sediment
Results: ND (Detection limits: 0.005 mg/l) in 63 areas in Japan as of 1981
Remarks: ND: Not detected
Reference: EA, Japan (1983)
3.3 TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARTMENTS INCLUDING ESTIMATED ENVIRONMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS AND DISTRIBUTION PATHWAYS

No data available

*3.3.1 TRANSPORT

No data available

*3.3.2 THEORETICAL DISTRIBUTION (FUGACITY CALCULATION)

Global exposure:

The potential environmental distribution of diphenyl cresyl phosphate obtained from a generic level III Fugacity model is shown in the Table. The results show that if diphenyl cresyl phosphate is released mainly to water and soil, it is unlikely to be transported to other compartment but if diphenyl cresyl phosphate is released mainly to air, it is likely to be transported to soil and water.

Environmental distribution of diphenyl cresyl phosphate using a generic level III Fugacity model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to air</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to water</th>
<th>Release: 100 % to soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>14.5 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8.2 %</td>
<td>97.6 %</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>77.1 %</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local exposure:

According to a Japanese manufacturer, 540 kg/year of diphenyl cresyl phosphate are released into the WWTP. Elimination in the WWTP is 98 % with a flow of 0.7 x 10^6 tonnes/year into the bay. Local predicted environmental concentration (PEC_{local}) is 1.5 x 10^{-5} mg/l, employing the following calculation model. In this case, 1000 is applied as the dilution factor.

\[
\frac{\text{Amount of release (0.54 x 10}^9 \text{ mg/year) x (100-98)}}{\text{Volume of effluent (0.7 x 10}^6 \text{ l/year) x Dilution factor (1000) x 100}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/l}
\]

3.4 IDENTIFICATION OF MAIN MODE OF DEGRADABILITY IN ACTUAL USE

No data available

*3.5 BIODEGRADATION

(a)
Type: aerobic [X]; anaerobic [ ]
Inoculum: adapted [ ]; non-adapted [X];
Concentration of the chemical: 100 mg/l
related to COD [ ]; DOC [ ]; test substance [X]
Medium: water [ ]; water-sediment [ ]; soil [ ]; sewage treatment [ ]
Other [Japanese standard activated sludge]
Degradation: 0, 0 and 0 % after 28 days from BOD
11, 5 and 5 % after 28 days from HPLC
Results: readily biodeg. [ ]; inherently biodeg. [ ]; under test condition no biodegradation observed [X], other [ ]
Kinetic Method: OECD Test Guideline 301 C.
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity:
Remarks:
Reference: MITI, Japan (1993)

3.6 **BOD$_5$, COD OR RATIO BOD$_5$/COD**

No data available

3.7 **BIOACCUMULATION**

BCF: 980

3.8 **ADDITIONAL REMARKS**

A. **Sewage treatment**

None

B. **Other information**

None

4. **ECOTOXICITY**

*4.1 **ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH**

(a)
Type of test: static [ ]; semi-static [X]; flow-through [ ]; other [ ]
open-system [ X]; closed-system [ ]
Species: *Oryzias latipes.*
Exposure period: 96 hr
Results: $LC_{50}$ (24h) = 2.7 mg/l
$LC_{50}$ (48h) = 1.7 mg/l (95% confidence limits: 1.0 - 2.7 mg/l)
$LC_{50}$ (72h) = 1.3 mg/l
$LC_{50}$ (96h) = 1.3 mg/l
NOEC =
LOEC =
Analytical monitoring: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

*A. Daphnia*

Type of test: static [X]; semi-static [ ]; flow-through [ ]; other (*e.g. field test*) [ ];
open-system [X]; closed-system [ ]

Species: *Daphnia magna*

Exposure period: 24 hr

Results: $EC_{50} (24h) = 3.7 \text{ mg/l}$ (95% confidence limits: 3.0 - 4.3 mg/l)
$EC_{50} (48h) =$

NOEC =

Analytical monitoring: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]


GLP: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: phenol, m-cresol, p-cresol = 59%, 22%, 12%

Remarks: 20 Daphnids (4 replicates; 5 organisms per replicate) were exposed to 5 nominal concentrations (2.6 - 27 mg/l). Stock solution was prepared with DMSO: HCO-40 = 9:1 (10-100
mg/l). Controls with and without this vehicle were taken for test.

Reference: EA, Japan (1991)

B. Other aquatic organisms

No data available

*4.3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS, e.g. algae

Species: *Selenastrum capricornutum* ATCC 22662.

Endpoint: Biomass [X]; Growth rate [ ]; Other [ ]

Exposure period: 72 hr

Results: Biomass: 

\[
egin{align*}
\text{EC}_{50} (.24 \text{ hr}) &= 0.99 \text{ mg/l} \\
\text{EC}_{50} (.72 \text{ hr}) &= 0.55 \text{ mg/l (p < 0.05)} \\
\text{NOEC} &= 0.55 \text{ mg/l (p < 0.05)} \\
\text{LOEC} &=
\end{align*}
\]

Analytical monitoring: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]


open-system [X]; closed-system [ ]

GLP: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: phenol, m-cresol, p-cresol = 59%, 22%, 12%

Remarks: The EC50 values were calculated based on 5 nominal concentrations (0.31 - 3.24 mg/l). Stock solution was prepared with methanol (3.24 mg/l). Controls with and without this vehicle were taken for test.


4.4 TOXICITY TO BACTERIA

Type: Aquatic [ ]; Field [ ]; Soil [ ]; Other [ ]

Species: 

Exposure period: 3 hr

Results: 

\[
egin{align*}
\text{EC}_{10} ( \text{ hr}) &= > 10000 \text{ mg/l} \\
\text{EC}_{50} (3 \text{ hr}) &= > 10000 \text{ mg/l} \\
\text{EC}_{100} (\text{ hr}) &=
\end{align*}
\]

Analytical monitoring: Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]

Method: Unknown

open-system [ ]; closed-system [ ]

GLP: Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: Unknown

Remarks: None

Reference: Unpublished company data (Bayer AG)

4.5 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC ORGANISMS

4.5.1 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO FISH

No data available
CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

Type of test: static [ ]; semi-static [X]; flow-through [ ]; other [ ];
open-system [X]; closed-system [ ]
Species: Daphnia magna.
Endpoint: Mortality [ ]; Reproduction rate [X]; Other [ ]
Exposure period: 21 days
Results: Mortality: LC50 (24 hr) = 4.0 mg/l
(95% confidence limits: 3.0-6.4 mg/l)
LC50 (48 hr) = 1.3 mg/l
(95% confidence limits: 1.0-1.5 mg/l)
LC50 (96 hr) = 0.44 mg/l
LC50 (7 d) = 0.44 mg/l
LC50 (14 d) = 0.41 mg/l
(95% confidence limits: 0.33-0.52 mg/l)
LC50 (21 d) = 0.35 mg/l
(95% confidence limits: 0.27-0.45 mg/l)
NOEC =
LOEC =
Reproduction: EC50 (14 d) = 0.27 mg/l
EC50 (21 d) = 0.31 mg/l
NOEC = 0.12 mg/l (p < 0.05)
LOEC = 0.38 mg/l (p < 0.05)

Analytical monitoring: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]
GLP: Yes [ ] No [X] ? [ ]
Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate,
purity: phenol, m-cresol, p-cresol = 59%, 22%, 12%
Remarks: 40 Daphnids (4 replicates; 10 organisms per replicate) were
exposed to 5 nominal concentrations (0.038 - 3.8 mg/l). Stock
solution was prepared with DMSO: HCO-40 = 9:1. Controls
with and without this vehicle were taken for test.
Reference: EA, Japan (1991)

4.6 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL ORGANISMS

4.6.1 TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS

No data available

4.6.2 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS

No data available

4.6.3 TOXICITY TO OTHER NON MAMMALIAN TERRESTRIAL SPECIES
(INCLUDING AVIAN)

No data available

4.7 BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING (INCLUDING BIOMAGNIFICATION)
4.8 BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS

No data available

4.9 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

None

5. TOXICITY

*5.1 ACUTE TOXICITY

5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type: \( \text{LD}_0 \ [ ] ; \text{LD}_{100} \ [ ] ; \text{LD}_{50} \ [X] ; \text{LDL}_0 \ [ ] ; \) Other [ ]

Species/strain: Rat

Value: 6,400 mg/kg b.w.

Method: Unknown

GLP: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate

Remarks: None

Reference: Unpublished company data

5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY

Type: \( \text{LC}_0 \ [ ] ; \text{LC}_{100} \ [ ] ; \text{LC}_{50} \ [X] ; \text{LCL}_0 \ [ ] ; \) Other [ ]

Species/strain: Sheep

Exposure time: 1 hour

Value: > 0.37 mg/m³/1hr

Method: Unknown

GLP: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: Unknown

Remarks: None

Reference: Kimerie, F. (1964)

5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY

Type: \( \text{LD}_0 \ [ ] ; \text{LD}_{100} \ [ ] ; \text{LD}_{50} \ [X] ; \text{LDL}_0 \ [ ] ; \) Other [ ]

Species/strain: Rabbit

Value: > 5,000 mg/kg b.w.

Method: Unknown

GLP: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: Unknown

Remarks: None

Reference: Johannsen, F.R. (1977)

5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY, OTHER ROUTES OF ADMINISTRATION
No data available

5.2 CORROSIVENESS/IRRITATION

5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION/CORROSION

Species/strain: Rabbit
Results: Highly corrosive [ ]; Corrosive [ ]; Highly irritating [X]; Irritating [ ]; Moderate irritating [ ]; Slightly irritating [ ]; Not irritating [X]
Classification: Highly corrosive (causes severe burns)[ ]; Corrosive (causes burns)[ ]; Irritating [ ]; Not irritating [ ]
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [X]
Test substance: Commercial, purity: Unknown
Remarks:
Reference: Unpublished company data (Bayer AG)

5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION/CORROSION

Species/strain: Rabbit
Results: Highly corrosive [ ]; Corrosive [ ]; Highly irritating [X]; Irritating [ ]; Moderate irritating [ ]; Slightly irritating [ ]; Not irritating [ ]
Classification: Irritating [ ]; Not irritating [ ]; Risk of serious damage to eyes [ ]
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Test substance: Commercial, purity: Unknown
Remarks:
Reference: Unpublished company data (Bayer AG, 1982)

5.3 SKIN SENSITISATION

Type: Patch Test
Species/strain: Human, Rat
Results: Sensitizing [ ]; Not sensitizing [X]; Ambiguous [ ]
Classification: Sensitizing [ ]; Not sensitizing [ ]
Method: Unknown
GLP: Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Test substance: Commercial, purity: Unknown
Remarks:
Reference: Mallette, F.S. & von Saam, E. (1952)

*5.4 REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY

Type: Fertility [ ]; One-generation study [ ]; Two-generation study [ ]; Other [X]
Species/strain: Rat Crj:CD (SD)
Sex: Female [ ]; Male [ ]; Male/Female [X]; No data [ ]
Route of Administration: Oral (gavage)
Exposure period: Males: 45 days including 14 days before mating
Female: from 14 days before mating to day 3 of lactation
Frequency of treatment: 7 days/week
Post exposure
observation period:
Premating
exposure period: male: 14 days, female: 14 days
Duration of the test:
Doses: 0, 12, 60, 300 mg/kg (10 animals/group)
Control group: Yes [X]; No [ ]; No data [ ]; Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X]; Historical [ ]
NOEL: 12 mg/kg/day
LOEL: 60 mg/kg/day
Results: In the 300 mg/kg group, salivation, a suppression of body weight gain, increase of water intake were found in both sexes, and an increase of food consumption was found in male rats.
In the investigation of hematology, changes of parameters indicated anemia, and an increase of leukocytes were found in the 300 mg/kg group of male rats. In the investigation of clinical chemistry, a decrease in got, alubumin, A/G ratio and triglycerides were also found in the 300 mg/kg group of male rats. In urinalysis, decreases in pH and specific gravity, an increase of urine volume were found in the 300 mg/kg of male rats.
In the pathological examination, enlargement and cortical vacuolation of the adrenals, enlargement of the liver, and fatty change of the proximal tubular epithelium were found in both sexes. In addition, reduction of fatty change of the hepatocytes, hyaline droplets and basophilic changes in the proximal tubular epithelium, erosion or focal necrosis, and atrophy of seminiferous tubular were found in male rats, and clear cell change of hepatocytes, atrophy of thymus, hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the intestinal cell in the ovaries were found in female rats.
In the 60 mg/kg group, enlargement and cortical vacuolation of the adrenals were found in both sexes. In addition, an increase of food consumption and total cholesterol, a decrease of cholinesterase activities, and enlargement of the liver were found in male rats, and suppression of body weight gains, histopathological changes in the liver, kidneys and the thymus were found in female rats.
Method: OECD Combined Repeat Dose and Reproductive/Developmental Screening Toxicity Test (1992)
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Test substance: Commercial, purity: 41.9 %
Remarks: MHW, Japan (1993a)

*5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY IN VITRO

A. BACTERIAL TEST
B. NON-BACTERIAL IN VITRO TEST

Type: Cytogenetic assay  
System of testing: Chinese Hamster lung (CHL) cells  
Concentration: 0, 0.004, 0.008, 0.016 mg/ml  
Metabolic activation: With [ ]; Without [ ]; With and Without [X]; No data [ ]  
Results:  
Cytotoxicity conc: With metabolic activation: 0.05 mg/l  
Without metabolic activation: 0.025 mg/l  
Precipitation conc:  
Genotoxic effects: + ? -  
With metabolic activation: [X] [ ] [ ]  
Without metabolic activation: [ ] [ ] [X]  
Method: Japanese Guideline for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals  
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]  
Test substance: Commercial, purity: 41.9 %  
Remarks:  
Reference: MHW, Japan (1993b)

* 5.6 GENETIC TOXICITY IN VIVO

Type: Micronucleus Test  
Species/strain: Mice/Crj:BDF1  
Sex: Female [ ]; Male [ ]; Male/Female [X]; No data [ ]  
Route of Administration: Oral (gavage)  
Exposure period:  
Doses: 0, 312.5, 625, and 1,250 mg/kg  
Mice/group 5 male and female/group  
Results: The frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes was not significantly increased in male and female mice up to the dose of 1,250 mg/kg 24 hr after the oral gavage treatment. Inhibition of bone marrow cell proliferation was not observed under the test conditions.
Lowest dose producing toxicity: 1,250 mg/kg in male and female mice
Maximum tolerated dose: 1,500 mg/kg in male and 1,250 mg/kg in female mice
Genotoxic effects: + ? -

Method: Guideline for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals (Japan) and OECD Test Guideline 474.
Procedure: Bone marrow/Acridine Orange staining
solvent: Olive oil
Positive control: Cyclophosphamide 50 mg/kg
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
Test substance: Commercial, purity: 41.9 %
Remarks:
Reference: MHW, Japan (1996)

5.7 CARCINOGENICITY

No data available

*5.8 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

Type: Fertility [ ]; One-generation study [ ]; Two-generation study [ ]; Other [X]
Species/strain: Rat Crj:CD (SD)
Sex: Female [ ]; Male [ ]; Male/Female [X]; No data [ ]
Route of Administration: Oral (gavage)
Exposure period: Males: 45 days including 14 days before mating
Female: from 14 days before mating to day 3 of lactation
Frequency of treatment: 7 days/week
Post exposure observation period:
Premating exposure period: male: 14 days, female: 14 days
Duration of the test:
Doses: 0, 12, 60, 300 mg/kg (10 animals/sex/group)
Control group: Yes [X]; No [ ]; No data [ ]; Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X]; Historical [ ]
NOEL Parental: 300 mg/kg/day
NOEL F1 Offspring: 60 mg/kg/day
NOEL F2 Offspring: Results: A fertility index and an implantation index decreased in the 300 mg/kg group. These were probably caused by dys spermatogenesis. A birth index was tend to be low. There were no effects on the reproductive or developmental parameters of copulation, pregnancy, parturition or lactation. In an observation of neonated, no effects were found on the values for live pups, mean pup weights, sex ratio, abnormal pups or loss of offsprings. These results indicate that the no effect level for repeat dose toxicity of this substance is 12 mg/kg for both sexes, and that the no effect levels for reproduction or development are 60 mg/kg for sires, and 300 mg/kg for dams and offsprings.
Method: OECD Preliminary reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Test (1992)
GLP: Yes [X] No [ ] ? [ ]
OECD SIDS

Test substance: Diphenyl cresyl phosphate, purity: 41.9 %
Remarks: None
Reference: MHW, Japan (1993a)

*5.9 DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY

See 5.8

5.10 OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

No data available

A. Specific toxicities

No data available

B. Toxicodynamics, toxicokinetics

No data available

* 5.11 EXPERIENCE WITH HUMAN EXPOSURE

None
6. REFERENCES


ECDIN Database (1994).


Kimmerie, F. (1964) Bayer AG data.


MHW, Japan (1993a) Unpublished Report on Combined Repeat Dose and Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test of Diphenyl cresyl phosphate. (HPV/SIDS Test conducted by MHW, Japan).


MITI, Japan (1993a) Unpublished data.

MITI, Japan (1993b) Unpublished Report (HPV/SIDS Test conducted by MITI, Japan. Test was performed in Chemical Inspection and Testing Institute, Japan).

EXTRACT FROM IRPTC LEGAL FILES
This substance is classified as hazardous to water (Water Hazard Class: WHC 2). (There are 3 water hazard classes: WHC 3 = severely hazardous; WHC 2 = hazardous; WHC 1 = moderately hazardous; and the classification as "not hazardous to water"). The purpose of the classification is to identify the technical requirements of industrial plants which handle substances hazardous to water.

entry date: SEP 2001  effective date: 01JUN1999

title: Administrative Order relating to Substances Hazardous to Water
(Verwaltungsvorschrift wassergefährdende Stoffe)
original : BUANZ*, Bundesanzeiger, 51, 98a, 1, 1999

******

; Summary - THE FOLLOWING CHEMICAL IS INCLUDED ON A LIST OF CHEMICALS AND MIXTURES FOR WHICH REPORTING IS CURRENTLY REQUIRED UNDER THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT SECTION 2607A. THIS TOXIC SUBSTANCE IS SUBJECT TO PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT INFORMATION RULES ON PRODUCT ION QUANTITIES, USES, EXPOSURES, AND ADVERSE EFFECTS. MANUFACTURERS INCLUDING IMPORTERS MUST SUBMIT A REPORT FOR THIS LISTED CHEMICAL MANUFACTURED AT EACH SITE.

entry date: OCT 1991  effective date: 1982

title: PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT INFORMATION RULES
original : FEREAC, FEDERAL REGISTER, 47, , 26998, 1982
amendment: CFRUS*, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, 40, 712, 30, 1990

******
DIPHENYL CRESYL PHOSPHATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subject</th>
<th>specification</th>
<th>descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOOD</td>
<td>ADDIT</td>
<td>RSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td></td>
<td>RSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORE</td>
<td></td>
<td>RSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACK</td>
<td></td>
<td>RSTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary - THIS SUBSTANCE IS INCLUDED ON A LIST OF SUBSTANCES USED TO PREPARE ADHESIVES WHICH MAY BE SAFELY USED AS COMPONENTS OF ARTICLES INTENDED FOR USE IN PACKAGING, TRANSPORTATION, OR HOLDING FOOD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING PRESCRIBED CONDITIONS: SUBSTANCE MUST BE SEPARATED FROM THE FOOD BY A FUNCTIONAL BARRIER, MUST NOT EXCEED LIMITS OF GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE USED WITH DRY FOODS, OR NOT EXCEED TRACE AMOUNTS AT SEAMS AND EDGE EXPOSURES WHEN USED WITH FATTY AND AQUEOUS FOODS. ALSO REGULATED BY SEA M INTEGRITY, LABELING STANDARDS, AND ANY PROVISION UNDER 21 CFR 175

entry date: NOV 1991                          effective date: 1977

title: SUBSTANCES FOR USE ONLY AS COMPONENTS OF ADHESIVES
original : FEREAC, FEDERAL REGISTER, 42 , , 14534 , 1977
amendment: CFRUS*, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, 21 , 175 , 105 , 1988

*****

file: 17.01 LEGAL  rn : 1471162
!!! WARNING - not original IRPTC record - WARNING !!!
systematic name:Phosphoric acid, methylphenyl diphenyl ester
common name :Cresyl diphenyl phosphate
reported name :Diphenyl tolyl phosphate
cas no :26444-49-5    rtecs no :TC5520000
area : EEC       type : REG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subject</th>
<th>specification</th>
<th>descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MANUF</td>
<td>INDST</td>
<td>CLASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPRT</td>
<td>INDST</td>
<td>CLASS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The substance is included in a list of existing substances produced or imported within the Community in quantities exceeding 1000 tonnes per year. - A system of data reporting by any manufacturer who has produced or any importer who has imported the substance, as such or in a preparation, in quantities exceeding 10 tonnes per year is established.

entry date: AUG 1999                          effective date: 04JUN1993

original : OJECFC, Official Journal of the European Communities, L84 , , 1 , 1993